PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

DITTMER COMMERCIAL

Iris Ave. & 29th St. Crete, Nebraska

Prepared By:



E & A CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

Engineering Answers

E & A Consulting Group, Inc. 2077 N Street, Suite 400 Lincoln, NE 68510

Ph. 402-895-4700

State of Nebraska Certificate of Authorization # CA0008

E & A Project Number: P2022.289.001

August 15, 2024

Table of Contents Table 8.0 – Hydrologic Summary for Pre- & Post-Development Conditions .. 6 Table 11.0 – Pond Water Surface Elevation (Ft.)......7 4. Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP)......7 Jurisdiction and Requirements......7 4.1 A. Site Vicinity Map B. Soil Information C. Pre-Development Drainage Map D. Post-Development Drainage Map E. HydroCAD Software Model Report F. Preliminary Pipe Sizing Calculations

- G. HY-8 Culvert Analysis
- H. National Wetland Viewer Map

1. Executive Summary

Dittmer Commercial is a new commercial development encompassing approximately 9 acres within the City of Crete municipal limits. The approximate location is 29th Street and Iris Avenue (reference Appendix A - Site Vicinity Map). The preliminary plat, construction drawings and final plat were submitted to the City of Crete for review and approval.

The preliminary and final plat include a portion of the overall property. At this time, only the commercial development is moving forward.

Sanitary service for the commercial portion of the development is proposed to gravity sewer to the northwest. The City of Crete has expressed limiting development on this branch main to ensure that adequate capacity is maintained in the sanitary sewer trunk for future growth along Highway 33. The remainder of the property will be sewered by a sanitary sewer lift station to be installed by the City of Crete in conjunction with future platting.

This site is adjacent to a tributary of Walnut Creek. According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps, Panel 31109C0390F, there is no floodplain within the developing property. A wetland delineation was not completed but from aerial imagery the tributary is likely under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Development improvements are proposed immediately adjacent to the channel; so, wetland disturbance is not anticipated as part of this development.

The commercial development will be graded to drain to the south into a proposed extended dry detention basin. The pond will utilize a multi-stage outlet structure to attenuate the post-development runoff rates to below the pre-development flow rates. The proposed pond design also accounts for managing runoff from 10 acres adjacent to the commercial development which is programed for future residential development. Pond design has been sized with the future buildout taken into account. The City of Crete has no post-development water quality standards at this time.

This report presents a hydrology study of existing conditions and the proposed development with incorporated Best Management Practices (BMPs) for storm water management.

2. Pre-Developed Site

2.1.1 Existing Usage of Land

The existing site consists of mostly grass and woods along the unnamed stream.

2.1.2 Wetlands and Preservation of Natural Areas

The Supreme Court decision in Sackett v. EPA (2023) changed the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers jurisdiction. However, it is likely that the channel and adjacent banks are jurisdictional based on aerial imagery.

Construction activity is not planned within potential jurisdictional areas. If conflict is unavoidable, proper permitting will be obtained prior to disturbance. For additional information please reference Appendix H, National Wetland Viewer.

2.1.3 Site Soil Information

Soil classification for stormwater runoff calculations is referenced from the USDA National Cooperative Soil Survey. The user selected site is comprised of the following soils: Burchard Clay Loam, Malmo Silty Clay Loam, Pawnee Clay Loam, and Wymore Silty Clay Loam. The survey also classified the project area's only hydrologic soil Type as Type D. For additional information on soil type please reference Appendix B, Soil Information.

2.1.4 Existing Topography

Existing site topography was provided by Catlett Surveying. Catlett Surveying has been working on this property for many years based on an assumed local coordinate system. This approach limits the ability to correlate field survey with publicly available GIS data for a comprehensive look at the drainage areas. However, field survey data was supplemented with 2022 LiDAR data obtained from United States Geological Survey (USGS) to establish the general drainage boundaries beyond the limits of the Catlett Surveying's data.

2.1.5 Pre-Development Site Hydrology

The majority of the site flows south/southwest and discharges directly to the unnamed stream. A twin 3' x 5' concrete box culvert takes the water across Iris Avenue to the west. Minimal perimeter areas of the site drain to the north onto 29th Street and eventually into the existing storm sewer system. The following assumptions were made in the calculation of pre-development runoff.

24-Hour Design Rainfall							
Storm Event Rainfall (inches)							
2-Year	2.98						
10-Year	4.40						
100-Year	7.31						

Table 1.0 – Referenced NOAA Atlas 14 Rainfall for Crete, Nebraska

Table 2.0 – SCS Curve Numbers for Pre-Development Runoff

SCS Method Curve Numbers (CN)						
Land Classification	CN					
Land Classification	С					
Woods & Grass - Good	79					
Pavement - Impervious	98					

The following table summarizes the calculated pre-development runoff. For a visual representation of areas please reference Appendix C, Pre-Development Drainage Map. For details on calculated flows, reference Appendix F, PCSMP HydroCAD Software Model Report.

Pre- Development Area (ID)	Area (acres)	CN	Time of Concentration (min)	2-YR Peak Flow (cfs)	10-YR Peak Flow (cfs)	100-YR Peak Flow (cfs)
EX-A	17.791	80	14.1	30.21	58.13	116.97

Table 3.0 – Pre-Development Runoff per Area Summary

3. Post Developed Site

3.1.1 Proposed Usage of Land

The proposed usage will be seven commercial lots. The first phase of development includes an approximately 4,000 square foot building with parking and perimeter drive access. The second phase includes five 5,000 square foot buildings and one 6,000 square foot building to the east of the first building. Approximately 8 acres of the total 19 acres is anticipated to be developed.

3.1.2 Post-Development Site Hydrology

The commercial development will consist of buildings, private roadways, and parking lots. A conceptual layout was used for analysis and buildings are assumed to have gable roofs. Table 2.2a from the TR-55 was used to approximate the curve numbers for the proposed post-development conditions.

Basin A includes private and public stormwater runoff collected from the roofs, parking lots, and drives through a series of area and curb inlets. The stormwater runoff is conveyed to the southeast corner of the drive from 29th Street, and in the southwest corner of the parking lots. South of the proposed development is a basin with a swale from the southwest lots and a culvert from the southeast corner feeding into it. The basin then outlets to the unnamed stream.

The following assumptions were made in the pipe sizing calculations. See Appendix F, Preliminary Pipe Sizing Calculations for the sub-basin map and calculations.

	Pipe Sizing Calculations	
Time of Concentration, Minimum (min.)	Storm Event (Yr)	Rainfall Intensity (in/hr)
0	10	6.55
8	100	9.96

Table 4.0 – Summary of Assumptions for Pipe Sizing Calculations

Rational Method C-Values								
Land Classification C								
Urban District - Industrial	0.80							
Unimproved – Flat	0.10							
Unimproved Average	0.25							
Suburban	0.40							

The following tables summarize the calculated Post Development runoff and assumptions made for calculations. For a visual representation of areas please see Appendix D, Post-Development Drainage Map. For details on calculated flows, reference Appendix F, HydroCAD Software Model Report.

Table 6.0 - SCS Curve Numbers for Post Development Runoff

Land Classification	CN
	D
Pavement – Impervious	98
1/8 Acre Lot	92
1/4 Acre Lot	87
>75% Grass Cover - Good	80
Woods & Grass - Good	79

Post- Development Area (ID)	Area (acres)	CN	Time of Concentration (min)	2-Year Peak Flow (cfs)	10-Year Peak Flow (cfs)	100-Year Peak Flow (cfs)
A1	14.21	89	10.9	40.12	66.37	118.03
A2	4.96	79	8.0	12.26	21.80	41.08

Table 7.0 – Post Development Runoff per Area Summary

The following table compares the pre-development and post-development runoff rates.

abic 0.0 Tryarologic Ourninary for Th		opinicin oonan	0110				
	Peak	Peak Runoff Rate (CFS)					
	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year				
Pre-Development*							
EX-A – Generated ^[1S]	30.21	58.13	116.97				
Post-Development*							
A1 – Generated ^[1S]	40.12	66.37	118.03				
A1 – Attenuated (Pond A1) ^[1P]	18.68	45.56	83.39				
A2 – Generated ^[2S]	12.26	21.80	41.08				
Impact Point A – Generated ^[1L]	22.48	58.02	112.90				

 Table 8.0 – Hydrologic Summary for Pre- & Post-Development Conditions

*Sub-basin ID – Flow Method (Desc.) [HydroCAD ID]

3.1.3 Culverts

Iris Avenue is a rural section roadway. A proposed private street connection to Iris Avenue will include a culvert pipe to convey ditch drainage under the intersection. Culvert C1 analyzed the headwater during the minor and major events adjacent to Iris Avenue. The contributing area to this culvert has been delineated to include roughly the western half of the Walmart parking lot and 29th Street. For details on calculated flows, reference Appendix G, HY-8 Culvert Analysis.

Table 9.0 – Culvert Summary of Area and Runoff

Culvert	Size	Storm Event	Top of Roadway Elevation (ft.)	Peak Flow (cfs)	HW Elevation (ft.)
C1 24"		10-Year	1400.00	16.30	1398.75
	RCP	100-Year	1400.00	30.70	1400.19

3.1.4 Basin Design

There is one basin designed for the proposed commercial development. Pond A1 will receive the majority of runoff from the commercial development, and a portion of the runoff from the future residential to the east. Full buildout of these units was used when calculating flows and water surface elevations. For more information, reference Appendix F, HydroCAD software model report.

	Pond Multi Stage Outlet Structure Information									
	Outl	et Pipe	Lov	v Flow	Weir		R	im	Spi	llway
Pond	Size	Elev.	Size	Elev.	Size	Elev.	Size	Elev.	Length	Elev.
ID	(in.)	(ft)	(in.)	(ft)	(in.) W x H	(ft)	(in.)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
A1	36	1386.00	3.0	1386.50	20" x 27"	1390.30	36 x 36	1393.00	14	1394.00

Table 10.0 – Pond Multi Stage Outlet Structures

Table 11.0 – Pond Water Surface Elevation (Ft.)

Pond ID	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year	Spillway Elev.	Top of Pond
A1	1391.39	1392.29	1393.48	1394.00	1395.00

4. Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP)

4.1 Jurisdiction and Requirements

The City of Crete has no post-development storm water treatment requirements.

5. References

- 1. Agriculture, U. S. (n.d.). *Web Soil Survey*. Retrieved from Natural Resources Conservation Service: <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</u>
- 2. County, L. (n.d.). *Lancaster-Lincoln Geographic Information Systems*. Retrieved from: <u>https://opendata.lincoln.ne.gov/datasets</u>
- Division, L. o. (2004 2014). City of Lincoln Flood and Water Quality Protection Manual . Lincoln, NE: City of Lincoln. Retrieved from <u>https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/ltu/watershed/dcm/</u>

Dittmer Commercial – Iris Ave. & 29th St. Preliminary Drainage Report

6. Appendices

APPENDIX A: SITE VICINITY MAP

Dittmer Commercial Addition Site Map

29th Street & Iris Avenue, Crete Nebraska



APPENDIX B: SOIL INFORMATION



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Saline County, Nebraska



Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP L	EGEND)	MAP INFORMATION		
ea of In	terest (AOI)	00	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at		
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:20,000.		
oils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	۵	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.		
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	\$	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause		
	Soil Map Unit Points	\triangle	Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil		
_	Point Features		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detaile		
(c)	Blowout	Water Fea	atures	scale.		
×	Borrow Pit	\sim	Streams and Canals			
⊠ Ж	Clay Spot	Transport	a tion Rails	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.		
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways			
Ж	Gravel Pit		US Routes	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:		
000	Gravelly Spot	~	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
Ø	Landfill		Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercate		
Ă.	Lava Flow	~		projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts		
عليه	Marsh or swamp	Backgrou	Aerial Photography	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as th Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more		
~	Mine or Quarry		515	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.		
	Miscellaneous Water					
0	Perennial Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data of the version date(s) listed below.		
0						
×	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Saline County, Nebraska Survey Area Data: Version 23, Sep 6, 2023		
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data. Version 23, Sep 0, 2023		
°*°	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales		
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.		
\diamond	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 21, 2021—Au		
≫	Slide or Slip			28, 2021		
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
7219	Burchard clay loam, 11 to 17 percent slopes, eroded	22.7	30.4%
7228	Burchard clay loam, 6 to 11 percent slopes, eroded	24.8	33.2%
7348	Malmo silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	2.4	3.2%
7501	Pawnee clay loam, 4 to 8 percent slopes, eroded	5.6	7.5%
7683	Wymore silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes	18.2	24.3%
7684	Wymore silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	1.0	1.3%
Totals for Area of Interest		74.7	100.0%

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it





Percent of AOI

	, ,	•	
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI
19	Burchard clay loam, 11 to	D	

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

7219	Burchard clay loam, 11 to 17 percent slopes, eroded	D	22.7	30.4%
7228	Burchard clay loam, 6 to 11 percent slopes, eroded	D	24.8	33.2%
7348	Malmo silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	D	2.4	3.2%
7501	Pawnee clay loam, 4 to 8 percent slopes, eroded	D	5.6	7.5%
7683	Wymore silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes	D	18.2	24.3%
7684	Wymore silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	D	1.0	1.3%
Totals for Area of Inter	est		74.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher APPENDIX C: PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE MAP





\square 111

APPENDIX D: POST DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE MAP



APPENDIX E:

HYDROCAD SOFTWARE MODEL REPORT

1S EX-A
Subcat Reach Link Reach Link Prepared by E&A Consulting Group, Printed 8/15/2024 HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 @ 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Project Notes

Rainfall events imported from "Temporary Basins.hcp"

Rainfall Events Listing (selected events)

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	2-Year	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	3.04	2
2	10-Year	Type II 24-hr	Type II 24-hr		24.00	1	4.48	2
3	100-Year	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	7.33	2

Area Listing (all nodes)

CN	Description
	(subcatchment-numbers)
98	Paved parking, HSG C (1S)
79	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG D (1S)
80	TOTAL AREA
	98 79

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	Soil Group	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
0.000	HSG B	
0.957	HSG C	1S
17.014	HSG D	1S
0.000	Other	
17.971		TOTAL AREA

Pre-000 Prepared by E&A Consulting Group HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type II 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.04" Printed 8/15/2024 Page 6

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: EX-A

Runoff Area=17.971 ac 5.33% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.17" Flow Length=1,265' Tc=14.1 min CN=80 Runoff=30.21 cfs 1.745 af

Total Runoff Area = 17.971 ac Runoff Volume = 1.745 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.17" 94.67% Pervious = 17.014 ac 5.33% Impervious = 0.957 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: EX-A

Runoff = 30.21 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 1.745 af, Depth> 1.17"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.04"

	Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
	17.	014 7	'9 Woo	ds/grass o	omb., Goo	d, HSG D
_	0.	957 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG C	
	17.	971 8	0 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	17.	014	94.6	7% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	957	5.33	% Impervi	ous Area	
				•		
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.1	100	0.0257	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.04"
	0.5	100	0.0434	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
	0.9	285	0.1076	5.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
	3.6	780	0.0500	3.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps

14.1 1,265 Total

Subcatchment 1S: EX-A



Pre-000 7 Prepared by E&A Consulting Group HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.48" Printed 8/15/2024 C Page 8

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: EX-A

Runoff Area=17.971 ac 5.33% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.25" Flow Length=1,265' Tc=14.1 min CN=80 Runoff=58.13 cfs 3.374 af

Total Runoff Area = 17.971 ac Runoff Volume = 3.374 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.25" 94.67% Pervious = 17.014 ac 5.33% Impervious = 0.957 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: EX-A

Runoff = 58.13 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume= 3.374 af, Depth> 2.25"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.48"

Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
				comb., Goo	d, HSG D
0.	957 S	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG C	
17.	971 8	0 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
17.	014	94.6	7% Pervio	us Area	
0.	957	5.33	% Impervi	ous Area	
			•		
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
9.1	100	0.0257	0.18		Sheet Flow,
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.04"
0.5	100	0.0434	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
0.9	285	0.1076	5.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
3.6	780	0.0500	3.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
	4 0 0 5	T ()			

14.1 1,265 Total

Subcatchment 1S: EX-A



Pre-000 Ty Prepared by E&A Consulting Group HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.33" Printed 8/15/2024 _C Page 10

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: EX-A

Runoff Area=17.971 ac 5.33% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.66" Flow Length=1,265' Tc=14.1 min CN=80 Runoff=116.97 cfs 6.974 af

Total Runoff Area = 17.971 ac Runoff Volume = 6.974 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.66" 94.67% Pervious = 17.014 ac 5.33% Impervious = 0.957 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: EX-A

Runoff = 116.97 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume= 6.974 af, Depth> 4.66"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.33"

Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
17.	014 7			comb., Goo	d, HSG D
0.	957 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG C	
17.	971 8	80 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
17.	014		7% Pervio		
0.	957	5.33	% Impervi	ous Area	
			•		
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
9.1	100	0.0257	0.18		Sheet Flow,
-					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.04"
0.5	100	0.0434	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
0.9	285	0.1076	5.28		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
3.6	780	0.0500	3.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
444	4 005	Tatal			

14.1 1,265 Total

Subcatchment 1S: EX-A




Project Notes

Rainfall events imported from "Pre-000.hcp"

Rainfall Events Listing (selected events)

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	2-Year	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	3.04	2
2	10-Year	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	4.48	2
3	100-Year	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	7.33	2

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
3.389	87	1/4 acre lots, 38% imp, HSG D (1S)
1.261	92	1/8 acre lots, 65% imp, HSG D (1S, 2S)
6.557	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D (1S, 2S)
0.828	98	Paved parking, HSG C (2S)
5.295	98	Paved parking, HSG D (1S)
1.840	79	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG D (2S)
19.170	88	TOTAL AREA

Post-000
Prepared by E&A Consulting Group
HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Pipe Listing (all nodes)

Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Width	Diam/Height	Inside-Fill	Node
	Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)	Name
1	1P	1,386.00	1,382.00	180.0	0.0222	0.011	0.0	36.0	0.0	

Post-000 Prepared by E&A Consulting Group HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type II 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.04" Printed 8/15/2024 Page 6

Time span=5.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1101 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: A1

Runoff Area=14.330 ac 49.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.94" Tc=10.9 min CN=89 Runoff=40.12 cfs 2.312 af

Subcatchment 2S: A2

Pond 1P: Pond A1

Runoff Area=4.840 ac 24.40% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.55" Tc=8.0 min CN=84 Runoff=12.26 cfs 0.625 af

Peak Elev=1,391.39' Storage=39,217 cf Inflow=40.12 cfs 2.312 af Primary=18.68 cfs 2.312 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=18.68 cfs 2.312 af

Link 1L: Impact Point A

Inflow=22.48 cfs 2.937 af Primary=22.48 cfs 2.937 af

Total Runoff Area = 19.170 ac Runoff Volume = 2.937 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.84" 57.07% Pervious = 10.940 ac 42.93% Impervious = 8.230 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: A1

Runoff = 40.12 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 2.312 af, Depth= 1.94" Routed to Pond 1P : Pond A1

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.04"



Summary for Subcatchment 2S: A2

Runoff = 12.26 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= Routed to Link 1L : Impact Point A 0.625 af, Depth= 1.55"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.04"



Summary for Pond 1P: Pond A1

WQCV- 24626 Opening- 2.66 to 3.2 inches

[44] Hint: Outlet device #2 is below defined storage

Inflow Area =	14.330 ac, 4	19.19% Impe	rvious, Inflow	Depth = 1.94"	for 2-Year event
Inflow =	40.12 cfs @	12.02 hrs, \	Volume=	2.312 af	
Outflow =	18.68 cfs @	12.17 hrs, \	Volume=	2.312 af, Atte	en= 53%, Lag= 8.7 min
Primary =	18.68 cfs @	12.17 hrs, \	Volume=	2.312 af	
Routed to Link	1L : Impact P	oint A			
Secondary =	0.00 cfs @	5.00 hrs, \	Volume=	0.000 af	
Routed to Link	1L : Impact P	oint A			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,391.39' @ 12.17 hrs Surf.Area= 15,210 sf Storage= 39,217 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 314.1 min calculated for 2.312 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 314.1 min (1,128.7 - 814.6)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stor	rage Storage	Description	
#1	1,387.00	175,41	6 cf Custom	Stage Data (Prisn	natic) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevation		urf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(feet		(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
1,387.00		40	0	0	
1,388.00		4,725	2,383	2,383	
1,389.00		9,749	7,237	9,620	
1,390.00		11,912	10,831	20,450	
1,391.00		14,257	13,085	33,535	
1,392.00		16,727	15,492	49,027	
1,393.00		19,358	18,043	67,069	
1,394.00		22,151	20,755	87,824	
1,395.00		26,517	24,334	112,158	
1,396.00)	100,000	63,259	175,416	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	S	
#1	Primary	1,386.00'	36.0" Round	Culvert	
		,	L= 180.0' RC	P, square edge h	eadwall, Ke= 0.500
					1,382.00' S= 0.0222 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.011 Con	crete pipe, straigh	nt & clean, Flow Area= 7.07 sf
#2	Device 1	1,386.50'	3.0" Vert. Orif	ice/Grate C= 0.0	600 Limited to weir flow at low heads
#3	Device 1	1,390.30'	20.0" W x 27.0)" H Vert. Orifice/	Grate X 3.00 C= 0.600
				r flow at low head	-
#4	Device 1	1,393.00'		Horiz. Orifice/Gra	
					e (56% open area)
				r flow at low head	-
#5	Secondary	v 1,394.00'	-		nd-Crested Rectangular Weir
			· · · ·		80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60) 2.65 2.64 2.65 2.65 2.63

Primary OutFlow Max=18.35 cfs @ 12.17 hrs HW=1,391.37' (Free Discharge) 1=Culvert (Passes 18.35 cfs of 66.98 cfs potential flow) 2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.52 cfs @ 10.49 fps) -3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 17.83 cfs @ 3.32 fps)

4=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs HW=1,387.00' (Free Discharge) 5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 1P: Pond A1

Summary for Link 1L: Impact Point A

Inflow Area =	19.170 ac, 42.93% Impervious, Inflow D	Pepth = 1.84" for 2-Year event
Inflow =	22.48 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	2.937 af
Primary =	22.48 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	2.937 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



Link 1L: Impact Point A

Post-000 7 Prepared by E&A Consulting Group HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.48" Printed 8/15/2024 C Page 12

Time span=5.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1101 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: A1

Runoff Area=14.330 ac 49.19% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.28" Tc=10.9 min CN=89 Runoff=66.37 cfs 3.912 af

Subcatchment 2S: A2

Pond 1P: Pond A1

Runoff Area=4.840 ac 24.40% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.80" Tc=8.0 min CN=84 Runoff=21.80 cfs 1.129 af

Peak Elev=1,392.29' Storage=53,958 cf Inflow=66.37 cfs 3.912 af Primary=45.56 cfs 3.912 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=45.56 cfs 3.912 af

Link 1L: Impact Point A

Inflow=58.02 cfs 5.041 af Primary=58.02 cfs 5.041 af

Total Runoff Area = 19.170 ac Runoff Volume = 5.041 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.16" 57.07% Pervious = 10.940 ac 42.93% Impervious = 8.230 ac

3.912 af, Depth> 3.28"

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: A1

Runoff = 66.37 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond 1P : Pond A1

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.48"



Summary for Subcatchment 2S: A2

Runoff = 21.80 cfs @ 11.99 hrs, Volume= Routed to Link 1L : Impact Point A 1.129 af, Depth= 2.80"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.48"



Summary for Pond 1P: Pond A1

WQCV- 24626 Opening- 2.66 to 3.2 inches

[44] Hint: Outlet device #2 is below defined storage

Inflow Area =	14.330 ac, 4	49.19% Impervious, Inflo	w Depth > 3.28" for 10-Year event
Inflow =	66.37 cfs @	12.02 hrs, Volume=	3.912 af
Outflow =	45.56 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volume=	3.912 af, Atten= 31%, Lag= 5.7 min
		12.12 hrs, Volume=	3.912 af
Routed to I	_ink 1L : Impact P	Point A	
Secondary =	0.00 cfs @	5.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af
Routed to I	_ink 1L : Impact P	Point A	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,392.29' @ 12.12 hrs Surf.Area= 17,486 sf Storage= 53,958 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 201.1 min calculated for 3.912 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 201.1 min (1,000.8 - 799.8)

Volume	Invert	t Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description	
#1	1,387.00	' 175,41	6 cf Custom	Stage Data (Pris	smatic) Listed below (Recalc)
					*
Elevation	-	urf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(feet)		(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
1,387.00		40	0	0	
1,388.00		4,725	2,383	2,383	
1,389.00		9,749	7,237	9,620	
1,390.00		11,912	10,831	20,450	
1,391.00		14,257	13,085	33,535	
1,392.00		16,727	15,492	49,027	
1,393.00		19,358	18,043	67,069	
1,394.00		22,151	20,755	87,824	
1,395.00		26,517	24,334	112,158	
1,396.00		100,000	63,259	175,416	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	`	
			36.0" Round		
#1	Primary	1,386.00'			handwall Ka 0.500
					headwall, Ke= 0.500 / 1,382.00' S= 0.0222 '/' Cc= 0.900
				,	
#2	Device 1	1,386.50'			ght & clean, Flow Area= 7.07 sf 0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads
	Device 1 Device 1	1,390.30			e/Grate X 3.00 C= 0.600
#3	Device I	1,390.30		r flow at low hea	
#4	Device 1	1,393.00'		Horiz. Orifice/Gr	
# -+		1,393.00			te (56% open area)
				r flow at low hea	
#5	Secondary	/ 1,394.00'			bad-Crested Rectangular Weir
π 3	Secondary	1,0000			0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60
			· · · ·		70 2.65 2.64 2.65 2.65 2.63
				, 2.07 2.01 2.1	2.00 2.07 2.00 2.00 2.00

Primary OutFlow Max=44.90 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=1,392.27' (Free Discharge) -1=Culvert (Passes 44.90 cfs of 74.33 cfs potential flow)

-2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.56 cfs @ 11.44 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 44.34 cfs @ 4.50 fps)

4=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs HW=1,387.01' (Free Discharge)



Pond 1P: Pond A1

Summary for Link 1L: Impact Point A

Inflow Area =	19.170 ac, 42.93% Impervious, Inflow D	Depth > 3.16" for 10-Year event
Inflow =	58.02 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume=	5.041 af
Primary =	58.02 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume=	5.041 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



Link 1L: Impact Point A

Post-000 Ty Prepared by E&A Consulting Group HydroCAD® 10.20-3g s/n 13223 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.33" Printed 8/15/2024 _C Page 18

Time span=5.00-60.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1101 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: A1

Runoff Area=14.330 ac 49.19% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.00" Tc=10.9 min CN=89 Runoff=118.03 cfs 7.170 af

Subcatchment 2S: A2

Pond 1P: Pond A1

Runoff Area=4.840 ac 24.40% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.45" Tc=8.0 min CN=84 Runoff=41.08 cfs 2.199 af

Peak Elev=1,393.48' Storage=76,706 cf Inflow=118.03 cfs 7.170 af Primary=83.39 cfs 7.170 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=83.39 cfs 7.170 af

Link 1L: Impact Point A

Inflow=112.90 cfs 9.369 af Primary=112.90 cfs 9.369 af

Total Runoff Area = 19.170 ac Runoff Volume = 9.369 af Average Runoff Depth = 5.87" 57.07% Pervious = 10.940 ac 42.93% Impervious = 8.230 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: A1

Runoff = 118.03 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond 1P : Pond A1 7.170 af, Depth> 6.00"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.33"



Summary for Subcatchment 2S: A2

Runoff = 41.08 cfs @ 11.99 hrs, Volume= Routed to Link 1L : Impact Point A 2.199 af, Depth> 5.45"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.33"



Summary for Pond 1P: Pond A1

WQCV- 24626 Opening- 2.66 to 3.2 inches

[82] Warning: Early inflow requires earlier time span [44] Hint: Outlet device #2 is below defined storage

nt
8 min

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-60.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,393.48' @ 12.11 hrs Surf.Area= 20,702 sf Storage= 76,706 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 125.4 min calculated for 7.163 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 126.2 min (911.4 - 785.2)

Volume	Inver	t Avail.Sto	rage Storage D	Description	
#1	1,387.00)' 175,41	16 cf Custom S	Stage Data (Pris	smatic) Listed below (Recalc)
-	-				
Elevatio	-	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee		(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
1,387.0		40	0	0	
1,388.0		4,725	2,383	2,383	
1,389.0		9,749	7,237	9,620	
1,390.0		11,912	10,831	20,450	
1,391.0		14,257	13,085	33,535	
1,392.0		16,727	15,492	49,027	
1,393.0		19,358	18,043	67,069	
1,394.0		22,151	20,755	87,824	
1,395.0		26,517	24,334	112,158	
1,396.0	0	100,000	63,259	175,416	
Davias	Douting	lov cort	Outlat Daviasa		
Device	Routing		Outlet Devices	N l t	
#1	Primary	1,386.00'	36.0" Round C		
					headwall, Ke= 0.500
					/ 1,382.00' S= 0.0222 '/' Cc= 0.900
	Davis 1				ght & clean, Flow Area= 7.07 sf
#2	Device 1	1,386.50		-	0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads
#3	Device 1	1,390.30'			e/Grate X 3.00 C= 0.600
щл	Davias 1	4 202 00	Limited to weir		
#4	Device 1	1,393.00'	36.0" x 36.0" H		
					ite (56% open area)
<i>щ</i> Е	Cocondom	4 204 00	Limited to weir		
#5	Secondary	y 1,394.00'			pad-Crested Rectangular Weir
				20 0.40 0.60 0	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60

Coef. (English) 2.64 2.67 2.70 2.65 2.64 2.65 2.63

Primary OutFlow Max=83.02 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=1,393.45' (Free Discharge) 1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 83.02 cfs @ 11.75 fps)

2=Orifice/Grate (Passes < 0.62 cfs potential flow) -3=Orifice/Grate (Passes < 76.04 cfs potential flow)

-4=Orifice/Grate (Passes < 11.86 cfs potential flow)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 5.00 hrs HW=1,387.09' (Free Discharge) -5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 1P: Pond A1

APPENDIX F:

PRELIMINARY PIPE SIZING CALCULATIONS



Engineer's Preliminary Pipe Sizing Calculations Dittmer Commercial Crete, NE

									Propose	ed Prelimi	nary Pip	be Sizin	g Calcı	ulations						
	Minor Storm System Conveyance Analysis								T					Major Storm System Conveyance Analysis						
Mino	r Storm Av	erage Retu	n Freque	ncy		10	Years							Major	r Storm Av	verage Retu	urn Frequ	uency	100	Years
Location (Parcel)	Area, A	Coeffici- ent, C	A*C	Sum, A*C	Time of Concen- tration, Tc	5	Runoff, Qr	Pipe Slope, Sp	Pipe Length, L	Pipe Diameter D	Pipe Capacity Qp	Pipe Velocity Vp	Time in Section Tp	Intensity I100	Flow, Q100	Overflow Route Slope	Street Width	Street Capacity	Overflow + Pipe Capacity	Comments
	acre				min	in/hr	cfs	ft/ft	ft	in	cfs	f/s	min	in/hr	cfs	ft/ft	ft	cfs	cfs	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(23)	(24)
	Basin A1																			
A9	1.35	0.33	0.45	0.45	12.2	5.82	2.6	0.0200	65	12	5.0	6.4	0.17	10.96	4.94	0.025	6		5.0	Sump Condition - Swale
A8-9	8.00	0.51	4.10	4.55	12.4	5.78	26.3	0.0800	105	18	29.7	16.8	0.10	10.89	49.52	0.15	27		29.7	Sump Condition - Private Roadway
A7	0.42	0.92	0.38	0.38	8.0	7.00	2.7	0.0100	165	12	3.6	4.5	0.61	13.20	5.07	0.02	27		3.6	Sump Condition - Parking Lot
A6-7	0.71	0.89	0.63	1.02	8.6	6.79	6.9	0.0300	215	15	11.2	9.1	0.39	12.81	13.06	0.2	27		11.2	Sump Condition - Parking Lot
A5-7	0.65	0.91	0.59	1.61	9.0	6.67	10.7	0.0800	50	15	18.3	14.9	0.06	12.57	20.24	0.25	45		18.3	Sump Condition - Private Roadway
A4	0.89	0.87	0.78	0.78	8.0	7.00	5.4	0.0270	50	12	5.9	7.5	0.11	13.20	10.26	0.25	30		5.9	Sump Condition - Parking Lot
A3-4	0.44	0.76	0.33	1.11	8.1	6.96	7.7	0.0050	220	24	16.0	5.1	0.72	13.13	14.60	0.025	27		16.0	On Grade - Private Roadway
Culvert on Iris Ave	8.78	0.36	3.12	3.12	15.2	5.23	16.3													Culvert under Big Mac Drive

Project No: 2022.289.001 8/15/2024

	CURE		DESIGN HEC 12 MET		TIONS		C	Date: Calculated by:	45519.0 Jordan Jurge) ens									Project Project No.	Dittmer Commercial 2022.289.001
LOCATION							DISCHARGE Design Storm = <u>10 Year</u>								INLET CAPTURE					REMARKS
Inlet Id.	Drainage Basin Id.	Area (A)	Runoff Coefficent (C)	Time of Concentration (Tc)	Intensity (I)	Direct Runoff cfs	Previous By- Pass	Cross Over	Total Gutter Flow (Q)	Paving Slope (S)	Cross Slope (S _x)	Depth (d)	Curb Height	Gutter Spread (T)	Inlet Type	Opening Length	Efficiency	Intercepted (Qi)	By-Passed (Q-Qi)	
		acres		minutes	in/hr		cfs	cfs	cfs	ft/ft	ft/ft	feet	ft	ft		ft		cfs	cfs	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
	A9	1.35	0.33	12	5.9	2.6			2.6						18" Grate Inlet	4				Sump Condition - Grass Area
	<u>A8</u>	8.00	0.51	12	5.8	23.8			23.8	0.000	0.030	5.63	0.50	187.8	NDOT Inlet	4	100%	23.8	0.0	Sump Condition - Private Roadway
	A7	0.42	0.92	8	7.0	2.7			2.7	0.000	0.030	0.26	0.50	8.6	NDOT Inlet	4	100%	2.7	0.0	Sump Condition - Parking Lot
	A6	0.71	0.89	9	6.9	4.3			4.3	0.000	0.024	0.35	0.50	14.8	NDOT Inlet	4	100%	4.3	0.0	Sump Condition - Parking Lot
	A5	0.65	0.91	9	6.7	4.0			4.0	0.000	0.030	0.33	0.50	11.2	NDOT Inlet	4	100%	4.0	0.0	Sump Condition - Private Roadway
																V				
	A4	0.89	0.87	8	7.1	5.5			5.5	0.000	0.060	0.41	0.50	6.9	NDOT Inlet	4	100%	5.5	0.0	Sump Condition - Parking Lot
C1	A3	0.44	0.76	8	7.0	2.4			2.4	0.025	0.030	0.19	0.50	6.5	NDOT Inlet	8	91%	2.2	0.2	On Grade - Private Roadway
															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
										1							+			······
																<u> </u>				
													+			<u> </u>				
													+}		+					
										<u> </u>										
													++							
					<u> </u>			 	 		ļ					 	····		 	
									 											
									L				ļ							
									<u> </u>							<u> </u>				
						7	<u>}</u>		<u> </u>				11			<u> </u>			1	
olumn 9, Cros	s Over: This column may utilized to	o account for additi	onal flow received b	y the inlet that crossed ove	r from the opposit	e side of the	Notes:	1	I	1			ال		1	I	1	<u> </u>	1	Sheet
	n (d) at Gutter Upstream of Inlet						1													1 of 1

APPENDIX G: HY-8 CULVERT ANALYSIS



HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Recurrence

Table 1 - Sumn	nary of Culvert	Flows at	Crossing: Iris Ave
----------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------------------

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Discharge Names	Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert 1 Discharge (cfs)	Roadway I Discharge (cfs)	terations
1398.18	2 year	10.80	10.80	0.00	1
1398.75	10 year	16.30	16.30	0.00	1
1400.08	50 year	26.50	25.19	1.28	13
1400.19	100 year	30.70	25.79	4.89	5
1400.00	Overtopping	24.75	24.75	0.00	Overtopping





Culvert Data: Culvert 1



harg e Nam es	Disc harg e (cfs)	ert Disc harg e (cfs)	water Eleva tion (ft)	t Con trol Dep th (ft)	let Con trol Dep th (ft)	o w Ty pe	mal Dep th (ft)	ical De pth (ft)	tle t De pth (ft)	wate r Dept h (ft)	et Vel ocit y (ft/ s)	wate r Velo city (ft/s)
2 year	10.80 cfs	10.80 cfs	1398. 18	1.68	0.0*	1- S2 n	0.62	1.1 8	0.6 6	0.31	11.9 2	4.95
10 year	16.30 cfs	16.30 cfs	1398. 75	2.25	0.0*	5- S2 n	0.77	1.4 6	0.8 4	0.40	13.0 8	5.68
50 year	26.50 cfs	25.19 cfs	1400. 08	3.58	0.86 4	5- S2 n	0.98	1.7 7	1.0 9	0.53	14.3 5	6.66
100 year	30.70 cfs	25.79 cfs	1400. 19	3.69	0.96 3	5- S2 n	1.00	1.7 8	1.1 1	0.57	14.4 3	6.98

* Full Flow Headwater elevation is below inlet invert.

Culvert Barrel Data

Culvert Barrel Type Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 1396.50 ft,

Outlet Elevation (invert): 1393.60 ft

Culvert Length: 65.06 ft,

Culvert Slope: 0.0446

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1



Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



Site Data - Culvert 1 Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data

-

Inlet Station: 0.00 ft

Inlet Elevation: 1396.50 ft

Outlet Station: 65.00 ft

Outlet Elevation: 1393.60 ft

Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Circular

Barrel Diameter: 2.00 ft

Barrel Material: Concrete

Embedment: 0.00 in

Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120

Culvert Type: Straight

Inlet Configuration: Beveled Edge (1:1) (Ke=0.2)

Inlet Depression: None

Tailwater Data for Crossing: Iris Ave

Table 2 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Iris Ave)

Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Depth (ft)	Shear (psf)	Froude Number
10.80	1386.31	0.31	4.95	1.96	1.66
16.30	1386.40	0.40	5.68	2.49	1.71
26.50	1386.53	0.53	6.66	3.28	1.78
30.70	1386.57	0.57	6.98	3.56	1.80

Tailwater Channel Data - Iris Ave

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel

Bottom Width: 6.00 ft

Side Slope (H:V): 3.00 (_:1)

Channel Slope: 0.1000

Channel Manning's n: 0.0400

Channel Invert Elevation: 1386.00 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Iris Ave

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation

Crest Length: 30.00 ft

Crest Elevation: 1400.00 ft

Roadway Surface: Paved

Roadway Top Width: 30.00 ft

APPENDIX H: NATIONAL WETLAND VIEWER MAP



U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

Dittmer Commercial Addition



August 15, 2024

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Lake Other Riverine This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.